| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 09/669,862 | HASSELBRINK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ALEX NOGUEROLA | 1753 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u> | | |
| (1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Donald Nissen</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 29 August 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: all pending claims | · | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Griffiths et al. (US 6,270,641 B1) of record | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Nissen authorized the examiner's amendment. | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | · | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | , |
| ally Marganala | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |